



KERALA GAZETTE

കേരള ഗസറ്റ്

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

ആധികാരികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തുന്നത്

| | | | | |
|------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|----------------|----|
| Vol. LVII വാല്യം 57 | } THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, TUESDAY തിരുവനന്തപുരം, ചൊവ്വ | 6th March 2012 2012 മാർച്ച് 6 | } No. നമ്പർ | 10 |
| | | 16th Phalguna 1933 1933 ഫാൽഗുനം 16 | | |

PART I

Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

Labour and Rehabilitation Department

Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

ORDERS

(1)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 114/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 21st January 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri Sony Mathew, Proprietor, Speed & Safe Courier Service, Pathanamthitta and the worker of the above referred establishment Smt. Aswathy Kumari, K. C., Deepak Bhavan, Malayalappuzha, Eram P. O., Pathanamthitta in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the denial of employment to Smt. Aswathy Kumari with effect from 1-1-2011 by the mangement of Speed and Safe Courier Service, Pathanamthitta is justifiable ?
2. If not, what relief the worker is entitled to get ?

(2)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 115/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 21st January 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, D.C. Press (P) Ltd., D.C. Kizhakemuri Idam, G. S. Street, Kottayam and the workmen of the above referred

establishment represented by the Secretary, D.C. Press Workers Union (T.U.C.I.), T.U.C.I. District Committee Office, Koumudi Buildings, Opposite PWD Office, T. B. Road, Kottayam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the retrenchment of Sri Tijomon, P.T., Printer, D.C. Press (P) Ltd., Kottayam by the management is justifiable ? If not, what relief he is entitled to ?

(3)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 141/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 24th January 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) The Managing Director, Bata India Limited, Bata House, 418/022, 'The Ascent', Opp. MDI Mehrauli Road, Sector 17, Gurgaon, (2) The Manager- Personnel & Administration, Bata India Limited, Retail South Cahin, 83, Industrial II Suburb, Yeshwanthapur, Bangalore-560 022, (3) The District Manager, Bata India Limited, Statue Junction, M. G. Road, Thiruvananthapuram and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by All India Bata Shop Employees Union, 1, Chakkaraberia Road (South), Bhowanipur, Kolkatha-700 025 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the transfer of three workmen namely Sri (1) P. J. Stephen, (2) Nischel Christy, (3) Philip George by the Management is justifiable ?
2. What are the reliefs entitled to workmen ?

By order of the Governor,

R. SASIKUMAR,

Under Secretary to Government.

ORDERS

(1)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 210/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 8th February 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the General Manager, MRF Ltd., Vadavathoor, Kottayam-686 010 and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by (1) The Secretary, MRF Employees Association (INTUC), Vadavathoor, Kottayam, (2) The Secretary, MRF Employees Union (CITU), Vadavathoor, Kottayam, (3) The Secretary, MRF Employees Sangh (BMS), Vadavathoor, Kottayam, (4) The General Secretary, MRF Contract Workers Union (CITU), Vadavathoor, Kottayam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the lay off on 18-6-2011 to 21-6-2011 and lock out on 22-6-2011 and 23-6-2011 at MRF, Kottayam is legal or not ?
2. If illegal, what the relief the permanent and contract workers of MRF, Kottayam entitled to ?

(2)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 211/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 8th February 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Dairy Manager, Milma, Kollam Dairy, Thevally, Kollam and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri J. Prasad, Rabek, College Road, Pulaman P.O., Kottarakkara in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the dismissal of Sri. J. Prasad (Lab Technician), Milma Dairy, Kollam by the management is justifiable ?
2. If not, what are the relief the worker is entitled to ?

(3)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 212/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 8th February 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Secretary, Muhamma Clam Marketing Processing and General Marketing Co-operative Society Ltd. No. A-1078, Muhamma P. O., Alappuzha-683 526 and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by Sri K. P. Bahuleyan (Secretary), Head Load & General Workers Union (INTUC), Muhamma Unit, Muhamma in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment of workers viz. Smt. Sukumary, Smt. Sushama, Smt. Leelamony & Smt. Gunasundari by the Management of Muhamma Clam Marketing Processing and General Marketing Co-operative Society Ltd. No. A-1078 is justifiable ? If not, what relief they are entitled to ?

(4)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 220/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 9th February 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) Sri A. K. Manoj, Agent, VRL Logistic Ltd., Lorry Parcel Office, Thalikkavu Road, Kannur, Kadan House, Chandrashekhara Theru, P. O. Koothuparamb-670 643 (2) The Administrative Manager, VRL Logistic Ltd., Giriraj Annexe, Circuit House Road, Hoobli-580 029 and workmen of the above referred establishment represented by Sri M. Bhaskaran, s/o Kumaran, Shreyas, Near Krishna Apartment, Keecheri P.O., Pappinessery in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kannur. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri M. Bhaskaran, Clerk, either by the management of M/s VRL Logistics Ltd. or by Sri K. Manoj, Agency of VRL Logistics Ltd. is justifiable ? If not, what relief he is entitled to get ?

(5)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 221/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 9th February 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Secretary, Kannur Co-operative Milk Supply Society Ltd., No. F.1400, South Bazar, Kannur-2 and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri M. N. Shaji, s/o Mani, M. N. House, Kannur in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kannur. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri. M. N. Shaji, Salesman by the management of Kannur Co-operative Milk Supply Society Ltd. is justifiable or not ? If not, what relief the worker is entitled to ?

(6)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 222/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 9th February 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri P. A. Jose, Chairman, Josco Jewellery, G. B. Road, Palakkad and workman of the above referred establishment Sri K. M. Rajeev, s/o Madhavan, Kalimadaparambil Veedu, Thirunellayi, Kannadi Post, Palakkad in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri K. M. Rajeev, worker, Josco Jewellers, G. B. Road, Palakkad is justifiable ? If not, what relief he is entitled to ?

By order of the Governor,

A. JUMAILA BEEVI,
Under Secretary to Government.
